United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

V.) 5.45.00.470.405	
CARLOS EDWARD CARMELO) Case No. 5:15-CR-172-12F	
Defendant)	
DETENTION ORD	ER PENDING TRIAL	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bai require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	l Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
Part I—Fi	ndings of Fact	
$\hfill\Box$ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
of \square a federal offense \square a state or local offen	nse that would have been a federal offense if federal	
jurisdiction had existed - that is		
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. for which the prison term is 10 years or mor	§ 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) e.	
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is death or life imprisonment.	
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	n of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	.*	
a felony committed after the defendant had lescribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C),	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses or comparable state or local offenses:	
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence bu	at involves:	
☐ a minor victim		
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or des	structive device or any other dangerous weapon	
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	250	
\Box (2) The offense described in finding (1) was commit federal, state release or local offense.	ted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a	
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since	e the	
from prison for the offense described in finding (from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
	e presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety and that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
Alternative	e Findings (A)	
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendance	ndant has committed an offense	
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten year	ars or more is prescribed in	
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	<u> </u>	
• • •		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption e the defendant's appearance and the safety of the	stablished by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure community.
	Alternative	Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will no	t appear.
Y (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will end	danger the safety of another person or the community.
		the Reasons for Detention
	I find that the testimony and information submitted	
ПВ		that on hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.
		mbination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably
— as	ssure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another	r person or the community. The lack of stable employment
	✓ The nature of the charges✓ The apparent strength of the government's case	The lack of a suitable custodian
<u></u>	The indication of substance abuse	The fact that the charges arose while on state probation
L F	The defendant's criminal history	The history of probation revocations
	Other:	The motory of prosadion revocations
_		s Regarding Detention
pending order of	rections facility separate, to the extent practicable, fg appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonal	torney General or a designated representative for confinement from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody ble opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility a court appearance.
Date:	June 12, 2015	Robert T Numbers TI
		Judge's signature
		Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge Printed name and title